

Hyperbolic hyperbolic-by-cyclic groups are cubulable

François Dahmani Suraj Krishna M S
Jean Pierre Mutanguha

January 29, 2024

Abstract

We show that the mapping torus of a hyperbolic group by a hyperbolic automorphism is cubulable. Along the way, we (i) give an alternate proof of Hagen and Wise's theorem that hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups are cubulable, and (ii) extend to the case with torsion Brinkmann's thesis that a torsion-free hyperbolic-by-cyclic group is hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain \mathbb{Z}^2 -subgroups.

1 Introduction

In this note, we prove the following:

Theorem (Corollary 5.4). *Hyperbolic hyperbolic-by-cyclic groups are cubulable.*

A *hyperbolic-by-cyclic* group is a semidirect product $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of a hyperbolic group G with the integers \mathbb{Z} . A group is *cubulable* if it admits an isometric action on a CAT(0) cube complex that is cubical, proper, and co-compact. The repetition in the statement is intended: we assume that both G and $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ are hyperbolic (equivalently, G is hyperbolic and $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain \mathbb{Z}^2 , see [Corollary 5.3](#)). This restricts what G can be.

Emblematic cases of our theorem are known by outstanding works. First and foremost, if G is a closed surface group, then any hyperbolic extension $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold group [[Thu82](#)]. Its cubulation is due to independent works of Bergeron and Wise [[BW12](#)]

— using Kahn and Markovic’s surface subgroup theorem [KM12], and Dufour [Duf12] — using the immersed quasiconvex surfaces of Cooper, Long, and Reid [CLR94]. Second, when G is free, Hagen and Wise cubulated the mapping torus $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ of a fully irreducible hyperbolic automorphism [HW16].

Hagen and Wise also treat extensions of free groups by arbitrary hyperbolic automorphisms in [HW15a], a notoriously difficult analysis. We do not rely on, nor follow, that work. Instead, our proof uses the emblematic cases above in a telescopic argument that encompasses the case when G is a torsion-free hyperbolic group (see [Theorem 4.2](#)). It provides a hopefully appreciated alternative.

We adopt a relative viewpoint and bootstrap the relative cubulation of certain free-product-by-cyclic groups by the first two named authors [DM]; this uses recent work of Groves and Manning on improper actions on CAT(0) cube complexes [GM] along with the malnormal combination theorem of Hsu and Wise [HW15b]. The need for the theory of train tracks (of free groups or free product automorphisms, see [BH92, FM15]) is limited to absolute train tracks for the fully irreducible case; it is encapsulated in the relative cubulation of free-product-by-cyclic groups [DM].

For a hyperbolic group G possibly with torsion, if there exists a hyperbolic extension $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, then G is virtually torsion-free (and residually finite) by [Proposition 5.2](#). In particular, $G \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is virtually cubulable hyperbolic, and hence cubulable [Wis21, Lem. 7.14]. As a consequence, we have:

Corollary. *If a hyperbolic-by-cyclic group Γ is hyperbolic, then:*

1. Γ is virtually (compact) special [Ago13];
2. Γ is \mathbb{Z} -linear and its quasiconvex subgroups are separable [HW08];
3. Γ virtually surjects onto F_2 [AM15];
4. Γ is conjugacy separable [MZ16]; and
5. Γ admits Anosov representations [DFWZ23].

We end this introduction with a question. [Proposition 5.2](#) states that a hyperbolic group is virtually a free product of free and surface groups whenever it admits a hyperbolic automorphism. However, the converse

is false as can be seen from a hyperbolic triangle group or the free product of two finite groups — these have finite outer automorphism groups.

Question. *Is there an algebraic characterisation of hyperbolic groups that admit hyperbolic automorphisms?*

Note that Pettet characterised virtually free groups with finite outer automorphism groups [Pet97].

Acknowledgements

We thank Mark Hagen for an insightful discussion, Daniel Groves for the reference to [Wis21, Lem. 7.14], and the referee for helpful suggestions. We are grateful for the environment provided by the CRM during the 2023 semester program “Geometric Group Theory”.

François Dahmani was supported by ANR GoFR - ANR-22-CE40-0004, and IRL CRM-CNRS 3457, while Suraj Krishna was supported by ISF grant number 1226/19.

2 Free factor systems

A *free decomposition* of a group G is an isomorphism $G \cong A_1 * \cdots * A_k * F_r$, where $k \geq 0$, $r \geq 0$, each *peripheral free factor* A_i is not trivial, and F_r is free with rank r . We call $\mathcal{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$ a *free factor system* of G ; it is *proper* unless $k \leq 1$ and $r = 0$. The integer $k + r$ is the *Kurosh co-rank* of the free factor system \mathcal{A} . A nontrivial group is *freely indecomposable* if its free factor systems have Kurosh co-rank 1.

Assume G is finitely generated for the rest of this section. A *Grushko decomposition* of G is a free decomposition whose free factor system \mathcal{A} has maximal Kurosh co-rank and peripheral free factors A_i are not \mathbb{Z} ; in that case, we call \mathcal{A} a *Grushko free factor system* and its Kurosh co-rank is the *Kurosh–Grushko rank* of G .

Recall the preorder of free factor systems of G : a free factor system $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ is lower than \mathcal{A} if each B_j is conjugate in G to a subgroup of some A_i . In this case, a free decomposition with peripherals \mathcal{A} refines to one with peripherals \mathcal{B} (as seen by the actions of A_i on $T_{\mathcal{B}}$, a Serre tree whose nontrivial vertex stabilisers are exactly the conjugates of all B_j), and the Kurosh co-rank of \mathcal{B} is at least that of \mathcal{A} (see [DL22, Lem. 1.1] for a similar argument); if it is equal, then \mathcal{A} is also lower than \mathcal{B} .

Let $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ be a free factor system of G . A *proper* (G, \mathcal{B}) -free factor is a nontrivial point stabiliser of a nontrivial action of G on a tree, for which edge stabilisers are trivial, and in which each B_j is elliptic. In other words, it is a peripheral free factor A_i in a free factor system \mathcal{A} that is higher than \mathcal{B} in the preorder.

A minimal free factor system in this preorder is a Grushko free factor system; it is unique up to the preorder's equivalence relation. So any automorphism preserves the Grushko free factor system (A_1, \dots, A_k) , i.e. it sends each A_i to a conjugate of some A_j . A free factor system is *periodic* with respect to $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ if some (positive) power of ϕ preserves it.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose G is a finitely generated group. If $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ is a proper free factor system, then each B_i has Kurosh–Grushko rank strictly lower than the Kurosh–Grushko rank of G .*

If G has Kurosh–Grushko rank ≥ 2 , then any automorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow G$ has a free factor system that is maximal among ϕ -periodic proper free factor systems.

Proof. Since \mathcal{B} is proper, $G \cong B_i * H$ for some nontrivial group H . By uniqueness of the Grushko decomposition, the Kurosh–Grushko rank of G is the sum of those of B_i and H .

For the second assertion, as the Kurosh–Grushko rank is at least 2, the Grushko free factor system is proper and ϕ -periodic. Restricting to ϕ -periodic proper free factor systems, any one with the lowest Kurosh co-rank is maximal in the preorder. \square

3 Ingredients

Let G be a torsion-free group. For this section, we assume:

- a free factor system $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ has Kurosh co-rank ≥ 3 ;
- an automorphism $\psi: G \rightarrow G$ preserves \mathcal{B} , denoted $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$;
- $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is *relatively fully irreducible*, i.e. any ψ -periodic (up to conjugacy) proper (G, \mathcal{B}) -free factor must be conjugate to some B_i ;
- $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is *relatively atoroidal*, i.e. any ψ -periodic conjugacy class of nontrivial elements in G intersects some B_i .

Here is an equivalent definition of relatively fully irreducible:

Lemma 3.1. *An automorphism $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is relatively fully irreducible if and only if \mathcal{B} is a maximal ψ -periodic proper free factor system.*

Proof. If some ψ -periodic proper free factor system (A_1, \dots, A_k) is strictly higher than $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_\ell)$ in the preorder, then some A_i is a ψ -periodic proper (G, \mathcal{B}) -free factor that is not conjugate to any B_j .

Conversely, if some ψ -periodic proper (G, \mathcal{B}) -free factor A_1 is not conjugate to any B_i , then the ψ -periodic free factor system (A_1) can be extended to a ψ -periodic proper free factor system (A_1, \dots, A_k) that is strictly higher than \mathcal{B} by including some (conjugates of) B_i . \square

For $h \in G$, $\text{ad}_h: G \rightarrow G$ denotes the inner automorphism $g \mapsto hgh^{-1}$. For a peripheral free factor B_i , let $k_i \geq 1$ be the smallest integer such that $\psi^{k_i}(B_i) = g_i^{-1}B_i g_i$ for some $g_i \in G$. The *peripheral suspension* $B_i \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ is the suspension of B_i by $\text{ad}_{g_i} \circ \psi^{k_i}|_{B_i}: B_i \rightarrow B_i$; this group naturally embeds in $G \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ — one can verify using normal forms that the natural homomorphism $B_i \rtimes \langle s \rangle \rightarrow G \rtimes_{\psi} \langle t \rangle$ that maps $s \mapsto g_i t^{k_i}$ is injective.

The first two named authors recently gave a *relative cubulation* (introduced in [EG20]) of the mapping torus of a relatively fully irreducible relatively atoroidal automorphism. Their proof is adapted from Hagen and Wise’s cubulation of hyperbolic irreducible free-by-cyclic groups [HW16].

Theorem 3.2 (cf. [DM, Thm. 1.1]). *Under this section’s assumptions, the mapping torus $G \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ acts cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex, where each cell stabiliser is either trivial or conjugate to a finite index subgroup of some peripheral suspension $B_i \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$.* \square

The cited theorem has an additional assumption, absence of twinned subgroups: two subgroups $H_1 \neq H_2$ of G are *twinned* in \mathcal{B} if they are conjugates of some B_j, B_k and $\text{ad}_g \circ \psi^n(H_i) = H_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) for some $n \geq 1$ and $g \in G$. This assumption ensures the family of peripheral suspensions is malnormal (for relative hyperbolicity [DL22, Thm. 0.1]), but Guirardel remarked that it is redundant:

Lemma 3.3 (Guirardel). *As \mathcal{B} has Kurosh co-rank ≥ 3 and $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is relatively fully irreducible, there are no twinned subgroups in \mathcal{B} .*

Our proof of the lemma uses objects (expanding train tracks, limit trees, geometric trees of surface type) that we do not define here for the sake of brevity; we refer the reader to the cited literature for each.

Proof. The automorphism ψ is represented by an expanding irreducible train track (see [DL22, Sec. 1.3]). Projectively iterating the train track produces the limit (G, \mathcal{B}) -tree T and a ψ -equivariant expanding homothety $h: T \rightarrow T$ (see [BFH97, p. 232]). Note that nontrivial point stabilisers of T are ψ -periodic (up to conjugacy) by the finiteness of G -orbits of branch points in T [Hor17, Cor. 5.5] and the ψ -equivariance of h .

Let $H \leq G$ be a nontrivial nonperipheral point stabiliser of T — nonperipheral means the subgroup is not conjugate to some B_i . Then no proper (G, \mathcal{B}) -free factor contains H — otherwise, the smallest such factor would be nonperipheral and ψ -periodic, yet $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is relatively fully irreducible. Thus T is geometric of surface type [Hor17, Sec. 6.2, Lem. 6.8] and the point stabiliser H is cyclic [Hor17, Prop. 6.10]. As H was arbitrary, all nonperipheral point stabilisers of T are cyclic; therefore, there are no twinned subgroups in \mathcal{B} because they would generate a noncyclic nonperipheral T -elliptic subgroup by the ψ -equivariance of h . \square

We will use the following theorem of Groves and Manning to upgrade relative cubulations in the next section.

Theorem 3.4 (cf. [GM, Thm. D]). *If a hyperbolic group Γ acts cocompactly on a $\text{CAT}(0)$ cube complex so that cell stabilisers are quasiconvex and cubulable, then Γ is cubulable.* \square

The cited theorem has “virtually special” in place of “cubulable”. Since virtually cubulable hyperbolic groups are cubulable [Wis21, Lem. 7.14], the properties “virtually special” and “cubulable” are equivalent for hyperbolic groups by Agol’s theorem [Ago13]. In particular, for hyperbolic groups, being cubulable is a commensurability invariant.

Finally, for sporadic cases when the Kurosh co-rank is 2, we will need a specialisation of Hsu and Wise’s malnormal combination theorem:

Theorem 3.5 (cf. [HW15b, Cor. C]). *Suppose $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 *_{\langle c \rangle} \Gamma_2$ or $\Gamma_1 *_{\langle c \rangle}$ is hyperbolic and $\langle c \rangle$ is an infinite cyclic malnormal subgroup of Γ . If each Γ_i is cubulable, then Γ is cubulable.* \square

The two decompositions can be stated together as: “ Γ splits over $\langle c \rangle$.”

4 The bootstrap

The following proposition is due to Sela (see [Proposition 5.1](#) for a proof).

Proposition 4.1 (cf. [Sel97, Cor. 1.10]). *Assume G is a torsion-free hyperbolic group and some extension $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 . If G is freely indecomposable, then it is the fundamental group of a closed surface. \square*

We may now prove the central result of this note:

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a torsion-free hyperbolic group. If $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ is hyperbolic, then it is cubulable.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the Kurosh–Grushko rank.

If the Kurosh–Grushko rank of G is 1, then G is freely indecomposable. By **Proposition 4.1**, G is a closed surface group and, by the classification of its automorphisms, ϕ is pseudo-Anosov [Thu82, Thm. 5.5]. Then $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ is famously the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic 3-manifold [Thu82, Thm. 5.6] and cubulable, as already mentioned in **Section 1**. Assume $n \geq 2$ and the theorem holds for torsion-free hyperbolic groups of Kurosh–Grushko rank $< n$.

Let the Kurosh–Grushko rank of G be n . **Lemma 2.1** provides a maximal ϕ -periodic proper free factor system $\mathcal{B} = (B_1, \dots, B_{\ell})$, and each B_i has Kurosh–Grushko rank $< n$. As each peripheral free factor B_i is quasiconvex in the hyperbolic group G , a closest point projection $G \rightarrow B_i$ is Lipschitz and extends (cosetwise) to a *peripheral retraction* $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B_i \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ to the peripheral suspension. Since ϕ is a quasi-isometry, the peripheral retractions are Lipschitz by the Morse lemma (in G) — a variation of this idea appears in [Mit98, Sec. 3]. Thus the peripheral suspensions are quasiconvex and hyperbolic. By the induction hypothesis, each $B_i \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is cubulable.

We distinguish two cases. The first case is when the Kurosh co-rank of \mathcal{B} is at least 3. Some positive power ψ of ϕ preserves \mathcal{B} and, by **Lemma 3.1**, $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is relatively fully irreducible. Since $G \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ is hyperbolic, it has no \mathbb{Z}^2 -subgroups and there are no ψ -periodic conjugacy classes of non-trivial elements in G . In particular, $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G, \mathcal{B})$ is relatively atoroidal. By **Theorem 3.2**, $G \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ acts cocompactly on a CAT(0) cube complex, where each cell stabiliser is either trivial or conjugate to a finite index subgroup of some quasiconvex cubulable $B_i \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$. Groves and Manning’s **Theorem 3.4** thus implies $G \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ is cubulable. It naturally embeds in $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ with finite index, so the latter is also cubulable by [Wis21, Lem. 7.14].

The last case is when the Kurosh co-rank of \mathcal{B} is 2. There are three possibilities: G is $B_1 * B_2$, $B_1 * F_1$, or F_2 . We rule out the third possibility as $F_2 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ is never hyperbolic — it is a classical theorem of Nielsen that

any automorphism of F_2 maps the commutator of a basis to a conjugate of itself or its inverse [Nie17]. To conclude, we will prove that $\Gamma = G \rtimes_{\phi} \langle t \rangle$ (virtually) satisfies the hypotheses of Hsu and Wise’s [Theorem 3.5](#), and hence is cubulable. Note that $\langle t \rangle$ is a maximal cyclic subgroup of Γ , and hence malnormal. It remains to show that Γ splits over $\langle t \rangle$ as needed.

In the first possibility, up to taking the square of ϕ , we may assume that ϕ preserves the conjugacy classes of both B_1 and B_2 . After conjugation (which does not change the mapping torus), we may assume it fixes B_1 (setwise) and, being an automorphism, it sends B_2 to a conjugate by an element of B_1 . After further conjugation, it fixes both B_1 and B_2 . Then the mapping torus $\Gamma = (B_1 * B_2) \rtimes_{\phi} \langle t \rangle \cong (B_1 \rtimes \langle t \rangle) *_{\langle t \rangle} (B_2 \rtimes \langle t \rangle)$.

In the second possibility, we write $G = B_1 * \langle s \rangle$. Up to taking the square of ϕ and composing with a conjugation, we may assume that $\phi(B_1) = B_1$ and $\phi(s) = sb$ for some $b \in B_1$. Consider $G \rtimes_{\phi} \langle t \rangle$, where one has the relation $tst^{-1} = sb$, or written differently $s^{-1}ts = bt$. Then, rewriting the presentation, one has that

$$\Gamma = (B_1 * \langle s \rangle) \rtimes_{\phi} \langle t \rangle \cong (B_1 \rtimes \langle t \rangle) *_{\langle t \rangle^{s^{-1}ts} = \langle bt \rangle},$$

where the last operation is an HNN extension with a stable letter s that (right) conjugates $\langle t \rangle$ to $\langle bt \rangle$ (and actually t to bt). \square

5 Once more, with torsion

Now G is a finitely presented group (possibly with torsion). It has a maximal decomposition as the fundamental group of a finite graph of groups with finite edge groups [Dun85]. The infinite vertex groups are thus one-ended [Sta71]. We call this a *Dunwoody–Stallings decomposition*. It is not unique, but the conjugacy classes of infinite vertex groups are uniquely defined: they are conjugacy classes of the maximal one-ended subgroups of G . The following is a generalisation of [Proposition 4.1](#):

Proposition 5.1. *Assume G is a hyperbolic group (possibly with torsion) and some extension $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 . Then every maximal one-ended subgroup of G is virtually a closed surface group.*

Proof. Let H be a maximal one-ended subgroup of G . Since there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of such subgroups, $\psi = (\text{ad}_g \circ \phi^k)|_H$ is an automorphism of H for some integer $k \geq 1$ and element $g \in G$.

Similar to the discussion in [Section 3](#), the suspension $H \rtimes_{\psi} \mathbb{Z}$ naturally embeds in $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$. As H is one-ended, its JSJ decomposition is preserved by ψ [[Bow98](#), Thm. 0.1]. The lack of \mathbb{Z}^2 in $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ imposes that the JSJ is trivial but not a rigid vertex [[BF95](#), Cor. 1.3]. It is therefore a vertex of surface type. In particular, H is virtually a closed surface group (see, for instance, [[Mar07](#), Sec. 4]). \square

We are now ready to state the main observation of this section.

Proposition 5.2. *If G is a hyperbolic group (possibly with torsion) and some extension $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 , then G has a characteristic finite index subgroup that is a free product of closed surface groups and free groups. In particular, G is residually finite.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{X} be a Dunwoody–Stallings decomposition of G . We need notations for the decomposition: the underlying finite graph is X ; for each vertex v in X , its vertex group is \mathbb{X}_v ; and for each edge e in X , its finite edge group is \mathbb{X}_e . For each vertex v , denote by H_v a normal finite index subgroup of \mathbb{X}_v that is either trivial or a closed surface group, as guaranteed by [Proposition 5.1](#).

As the subgroups H_v are torsion-free, the surjections $q_v: \mathbb{X}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_v/H_v$ are injective on finite subgroups. Thus we define a graph of finite groups \mathbb{Y} with underlying graph X , vertex groups \mathbb{X}_v/H_v , and edge groups \mathbb{X}_e ; the surjections q_v induce a surjection $q: G \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathbb{Y})$ with a torsion-free kernel. The quotient $\pi_1(\mathbb{Y})$ is virtually free by Karrass, Pietrowski, and Solitar’s characterisation [[KPS73](#), Thm. 1].

Let $J \leq \pi_1(\mathbb{Y})$ be a free finite index subgroup. Since J and the kernel of q are torsion-free, the preimage $q^{-1}(J) \leq G$ is a torsion-free finite index subgroup. The intersection H of subgroups of G with index $[G : q^{-1}(J)]$ is a characteristic torsion-free finite index subgroup. The decomposition \mathbb{X} of G induces a Grushko decomposition of H whose freely indecomposable free factors are closed surface groups. \square

We may extend Brinkmann’s thesis [[Bri00](#)] to the case with torsion.

Corollary 5.3. *Suppose G is a hyperbolic group. Then $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ is hyperbolic if and only if it does not contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 .*

The forward implication is standard. Conversely, if $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ does not contain a copy of \mathbb{Z}^2 , then the same holds for the finite index subgroup

$G_0 \rtimes_{\phi|_{G_0}} \mathbb{Z}$, where G_0 is the torsion-free subgroup given by [Proposition 5.2](#). As $G_0 \rtimes_{\phi|_{G_0}} \mathbb{Z}$ is hyperbolic [[Bri00](#)], so is $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$.

Corollary 5.4. *If G and $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ are hyperbolic groups, then $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ is cubulable.*

Again, consider the finite index subgroup $G_0 \rtimes_{\phi|_{G_0}} \mathbb{Z}$ of $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$, where G_0 is given by [Proposition 5.2](#). $G_0 \rtimes_{\phi|_{G_0}} \mathbb{Z}$ is cubulable by [Theorem 4.2](#), and hence, by [[Wis21](#), Lem. 7.14], so is $G \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$.

References

- [Ago13] Ian Agol. The virtual Haken conjecture (with an appendix by Ian Agol, Daniel Groves and Jason Manning). *Doc. Math.*, 18:1045–1087, 2013.
- [AM15] Yago Antolín and Ashot Minasyan. Tits alternatives for graph products. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 704:55–83, 2015.
- [BF95] Mladen Bestvina and Mark Feighn. Stable actions of groups on real trees. *Invent. Math.*, 121(2):287–321, 1995.
- [BFH97] Mladen Bestvina, Mark Feighn, and Michael Handel. Laminations, trees, and irreducible automorphisms of free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(2):215–244, 1997.
- [BH92] Mladen Bestvina and Michael Handel. Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 135(1):1–51, 1992.
- [Bow98] Brian H. Bowditch. Cut points and canonical splittings of hyperbolic groups. *Acta Math.*, 180(2):145–186, 1998.
- [Bri00] Peter Brinkmann. *Mapping Tori of Automorphisms of Hyperbolic Groups*. PhD thesis, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 2000.
- [BW12] Nicolas Bergeron and Daniel T. Wise. A boundary criterion for cubulation. *Am. J. Math.*, 134(3):843–859, 2012.
- [CLR94] Daryl Cooper, Darren D. Long, and Alan W. Reid. Bundles and finite foliations. *Invent. Math.*, 118(2):255–283, 1994.
- [DFWZ23] Sami Douba, Balthazar Fléchettes, Theodore Weisman, and Feng Zhu. Cubulated hyperbolic groups admit Anosov representations. *arXiv:2309.03695*, 2023.

- [DL22] François Dahmani and Ruoyu Li. Relative hyperbolicity for automorphisms of free products and free groups. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 14(1):55–92, 2022.
- [DM] François Dahmani and Suraj Krishna M S. Cubulating a free-product-by-cyclic group. *arXiv:2212.09869*. To appear in *Alg. Geom. Topol.*
- [Duf12] Guillaume Dufour. *Cubulations de variétés hyperboliques compactes. Mathématiques générales*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Sud - Paris XI, 2012.
- [Dun85] Martin J. Dunwoody. The accessibility of finitely presented groups. *Invent. Math.*, 81(3):449–457, 1985.
- [EG20] Eduard Einstein and Daniel Groves. Relative cubulations and groups with a 2-sphere boundary. *Compos. Math.*, 156(4):862–867, 2020.
- [FM15] Stefano Francaviglia and Armando Martino. Stretching factors, metrics and train tracks for free products. *Ill. J. Math.*, 59(4):859–899, 2015.
- [GM] Daniel Groves and Jason F. Manning. Hyperbolic groups acting improperly. *arXiv:1808.02325*. To appear in *Geom. Topol.*
- [Hor17] Camille Horbez. The boundary of the outer space of a free product. *Isr. J. Math.*, 221(1):179–234, 2017.
- [HW08] Frédéric Haglund and Daniel T. Wise. Special cube complexes. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(5):1551–1620, 2008.
- [HW15a] Mark F. Hagen and Daniel T. Wise. Cubulating hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups: the general case. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 25(1):134–179, 2015.
- [HW15b] Tim Hsu and Daniel T. Wise. Cubulating malnormal amalgams. *Invent. Math.*, 199(2):293–331, 2015.
- [HW16] Mark F. Hagen and Daniel T. Wise. Cubulating hyperbolic free-by-cyclic groups: the irreducible case. *Duke Math. J.*, 165(9):1753–1813, 2016.
- [KM12] Jeremy Kahn and Vladimir Markovic. Immersing almost geodesic surfaces in a closed hyperbolic three manifold. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 175(3):1127–1190, 2012.

- [KPS73] Abraham Karrass, Alfred Pietrowski, and Donald Solitar. Finite and infinite cyclic extensions of free groups. *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 16:458–466, 1973. Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann, IV.
- [Mar07] Armando Martino. A proof that all Seifert 3-manifold groups and all virtual surface groups are conjugacy separable. *J. Algebra*, 313(2):773–781, 2007.
- [Mit98] Mahan Mitra. Cannon-Thurston maps for hyperbolic group extensions. *Topology*, 37(3):527–538, 1998.
- [MZ16] Ashot Minasyan and Pavel Zalesskii. Virtually compact special hyperbolic groups are conjugacy separable. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 91(4):609–627, 2016.
- [Nie17] Jakob Nielsen. Die Isomorphismen der allgemeinen, unendlichen Gruppe mit zwei Erzeugenden. *Math. Ann.*, 78(1):385–397, 1917.
- [Pet97] Martin R. Pettet. Virtually free groups with finitely many outer automorphisms. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 349(11):4565–4587, 1997.
- [Sel97] Zlil Sela. Structure and rigidity in (Gromov) hyperbolic groups and discrete groups in rank 1 Lie groups. II. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(3):561–593, 1997.
- [Sta71] John Stallings. *Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds*, volume 4 of *Yale Mathematical Monographs*. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1971. A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1969.
- [Thu82] William P. Thurston. Three-dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 6(3):357–381, 1982.
- [Wis21] Daniel T. Wise. *The structure of groups with a quasiconvex hierarchy*, volume 209 of *Ann. Math. Stud.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2021.

F. D. INSTITUT FOURIER, UNIV. GRENOBLE ALPES, GRENOBLE, FRANCE.
 IRL-CRM CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, MONTRÉAL, CANADA.

S. K. M. S. FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNION – ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, HAIFA, ISRAEL

J. P. M. DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ, USA